The license plate on my car has a lobster on it. My friends at home chuckle when they see it. I guess it is a departure from the Georgia peach that was on my last plate, and the comparatively generic “Ontario—Yours to Discover” in blue and white. But I keep my Georgia license plate in my car and it greets me every time I open the trunk. I keep it for two reasons. First, I had to renew my car registration about six months before I moved to Maine; it had cost enough that I can’t see my way to throwing it out. What is more important is that I keep it to remind me of how far I’ve come, both physically and professionally, in the past seven years.

I left Canada in 1991, to start graduate school in Atlanta. I didn’t know that the initial two years of master’s level work in African American studies would lead to a doctoral degree and four years of teaching experience. So when I left Clark Atlanta University for the University of Southern Maine, I had already exceeded my original hopes for success. Now, seven years and three license plates later, I am regularly reminded of my own movements—my own migration.

This is not out of place. My license plate tells me that Maine is “Vacationland,” and the swells of summer travelers, both national and international, attest to it. Apparently, Maine is the destination of many travelers who, at least in part, seek respite in New England from the intense heat of other regions of the country. This was particularly so before the advent of the air conditioning unit and the central air system. The seafood, the water, the beauty and the tradition of Maine seem to keep people coming back. But these are the small-scale, temporary migrations that characterize vacation.

A larger migration, the Great Migration, was one of the most important developments in twentieth-century African American history. The migration had gained momentum by World War I and peaked during the 1930s. It declined and tapered off during the World War II era. African Americans left the oppressive Southern climate thatsharecropping, lynching, Jim Crow laws, and inadequate schooling had created for the potential of better employment, schools, and treatment outside the South. This issue’s “A Place in Time” features the story of Lucille Young, a Black woman who migrated from Mississippi to Maine in 1967. While her story stands outside the historical domain of the Great Migration, it offers a model of faith and strength that characterized the migration experience.
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Americans left the South over a series of decades and they moved to cities and states across the country, both east and west. Census returns of African American out-migration indicate that more than 1.3 million African Americans left the South between 1920 and 1930. It is estimated that “over 400,000 left in the two-year period, 1916-1918. They left at an average rate of over 16,000 per month, 500 per day.” The migration represents the most dramatic shift in the Black population in the twentieth century.

There are two recent, competing theories about the nature of the migration. Carole Marks argues that the migration was primarily a labor migration and counters some popular interpretations of the movement. Marks posits that most migrants were “urban, nonagricultural laborers, not the rural peasant usually assumed,” that Blacks left the South because they were “the displaced mulls of southern industrial development” (and not just workers looking for better wages), and that “much of the mobilization of the migration was orchestrated in the board rooms of northern industrial enterprises.” Much of the Marks’ work is anchored by the idea that African Americans were manipulated into leaving the South to become a cheap, surplus labor force for northern industry, particularly during the World War I era.

Recently emerging from a perspective that gives privilege to African American culture and voice, stands the research of Farah Jasmine Griffin. Griffin’s “Who set you flowing?” The African American Migration Narrative argues that theories, like those of Marks, cast African Americans as passive objects without personal agency. Using the motif of the “migration narrative,” Griffin constructs the Great Migration as the process, both cognitive and physical, in which African Americans acted on the desire to leave the South, navigated the urban landscape of the North and Midwest, and sought safe spaces in a society that failed to deliver completely the promises of a better life.

The “migration narrative” has a dual reading. It represents the voice of the migrant which may be found in the traditional sources like the African American press and personal correspondence. More important for Griffin, it is visible in African American cultural products such as paintings, music, and literature. Griffin cites Jacob Lawrence’s multi-panel Migration of the Negro Series for its depiction of the migration on canvas, and the writings of persons including Ann Petry, Dorothy West, Langston Hughes, Richard Wright, and James Baldwin for evoking important elements of the migration formula.

The most important feature in Griffin’s work is her construction of African American migrants as persons with agency, not just pawns in the complex scheme of industrial America.

Historians Darlene Clark Hine and Jacqueline Jones, among others, have helped consider the role that gender played in mediating the experiences of African Americans who moved to the North and the West. While men gravitated with varying degrees of success to industrial employment, many African American women remained locked in domestic service, with only a brief respite during World War I and II when defense industries gave them limited employ. The persistence of domestic work could lead to the presence of domestic slave markets—modern constructions of the auction block, in which African American women gathered at early morning in local squares to grovel and underbid each other for the chance to be someone’s maid for a day. They were often exposed to sexual and physical abuse for less than a dollar’s wage. As in the South, Black bodies continued to be read as sexual property as young African American women were also lured into prostitution in various northern cities.

Having established, at least in part, the tradition of scholarship on which researchers like Griffin would build, Jacqueline Jones and Paula Giddings highlight the ability of African American women to work pro-actively, whether as individuals or as members of Black or women’s organizations. Domestic tics exerted as much control over their labor and lives as they could by organizing and refusing to be live-in workers. Members of the National Association of Colored Women (NACW) helped improve educational and health care opportunities for African American women across the country in an age when American society operated under the fictive formula of “separate, but equal.”
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